

# Comparison Guide to C18 Reversed Phase HPLC Columns



## Comparison Data on Commonly Used C18 Phases

Stationary Phase Specifications | Phases Compared According to Relative Hydrophobicity | Phases Compared According to Relative Polarity | Categorization of Phases According to Hydrophobicity and Polarity | Comparison of Column Efficiency for a Neutral Compound | Comparison of Column Efficiency for Basic Compounds | Phases Grouped According to Silanol Activity | Comparison of Phases According to Metal Activity





**MAC-MOD Analytical**

103 Commons Court  
P.O. Box 587  
Chadds Ford, PA 19317

**Phone:** 1.800.441.7508

**Fax:** 1.610.358.5993

**E-mail:** [info@mac-mod.com](mailto:info@mac-mod.com)

**Web Site:** [www.mac-mod.com](http://www.mac-mod.com)

# Comparison Guide to C18 Reversed Phase HPLC Columns

## Introduction

There are so many different C18 columns to choose from, that finding the right column for a particular separation can be very time consuming and expensive. Two apparently similar C18 phases can give very different results. For example, Figure 1 compares the separation of the same sample mixture on a Hypersil HyPurity C18 and a Symmetry C18 column under identical mobile phase conditions. Even though both columns are packed with base deactivated C18 stationary phases, the band spacing (selectivity) between peaks is very different on the two columns. Without more information, it is impossible to predict how the performance of different stationary phases will compare.

This Comparison Guide to C18 Reversed Phase HPLC Columns provides basic comparison information on commonly used C18 columns to help you more easily identify similarities and differences before investing time and money in chromatographic testing. Hopefully, this information will help you find the right column for your application quicker.

Only silica based C18 bonded phases are evaluated in this Guide. Other bonded phases, such as C8, CN, Phenyl and polar embedded phases, are excluded.

This Guide does not identify an overall “best” column. The column that works best for one application will not necessarily be the column that will work best for other applications. And, there certainly is not a single column that will work best for all applications. However, this Guide can help you identify columns that are likely to perform well so that at least you can narrow the number of columns for chromatographic testing. You may find that this Guide helps you identify several columns that provide good separations and performance. It is always desirable to have more than one column identified for an application, especially if you are running routine assays.

Increasingly, chromatographers are seeking to identify alternate brands of HPLC columns suitable for their assays. Having an alternate column choice for a method reduces the risk of “down time” due to column problems such as a change in selectivity from one manufactured lot to another or slow supplier delivery. Finding an alternate or back-up column that will provide acceptable selectivity and performance when substituted into a method can be as expensive and time consuming as finding the right column for developing an initial separation. It is our hope that this Guide will make that job easier by identifying columns with similar chromatographic characteristics.

This Guide provides the following comparison data on commonly used C18 phases:

- **Stationary Phase Specifications**

*Specifications provided by column manufacturers*

- **Phases Compared According to Relative Hydrophobicity**

*Retention data for hydrophobic and neutral compounds*

- **Phases Compared According to Relative Polarity**

- **Categorization of Phases According to Hydrophobicity and Polarity**

- **Comparison of Column Efficiency for a Neutral Compound**


- **Comparison of Column Efficiency for Basic Compounds**  
*Also measures peak tailing*

- **Phases Grouped According to Silanol Activity**

- **Phases Compared According to Metal Activity**


Figure 1

### Apparently Similar C18 Phases Can Give Very Different Chromatographic Results




Both Hypersil HyPURITY C18 and Symmetry C18 are base deactivated phases. You would expect them to provide similar performance, and in some cases they do. However, in the example given here you can see significant differences in peak retention times, selectivity and even peak shape.

**Figure 2**  
**High Purity Silicas Provide Better Peak Shape for Basic Compounds**



**Acidic Silica (Waters Spherisorb ODS2)**



**Mobile Phase:** 60% CH<sub>3</sub>OH,  
 40% H<sub>2</sub>O  
**Sample:**  
 1. Uracil  
 2. Pyridine  
 3. Phenol

Interaction between cationic compounds and acidic silanol sites on the surface of silica stationary phase supports can contribute to retention and peak tailing. Phases made with high purity silica (less acidic silica) generally can be expected to provide better peak shape for basic compounds.

**Figure 3**  
**Specifications of C18 Stationary Phases**

Stationary Phase	Particle Size (µm)	Pore Size (Å)	Surface Area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Carbon Load (%)	Endcapped	High Purity Silica
ACE C18	5	100	300	15.5	yes	yes
ACE C18-300	5	300	100	9.0	yes	yes
ACE C18-HL	5	90	400	20.0	yes	yes
µBondapak C18	10	125	330	10	yes	no
Capcell Pak AG C18	5	120	300	15	yes	no
Capcell Pak UG C18	5	120	300	15	yes	yes
Develosil ODS-HG	5	140	300	18	yes	yes
Develosil ODS-MG	5	100	450	15	yes	yes
Develosil ODS-UG	5	140	300	18	yes	yes
Exsil ODS	5	100	200	11	yes	no
Exsil ODS1	5	100	200	11	yes	no
Exsil ODSB	5	100	200	12	yes	no
Gemini C18	5	110	375	14	yes	yes
Hichrom RPB	5	110	340	14	yes	yes
Hypersil BDS C18	5	130	170	11	yes	no
Hypersil GOLD	5	180	200	10	yes	yes
Hypersil HyPurity C18	5	180	200	13	yes	yes
Hypersil ODS	5	120	170	10	yes	no
Inertsil ODS	5	100	350	14	yes	no
Inertsil ODS3	5	100	450	15	yes	yes
Inertsil ODS2	5	150	320	18.5	yes	yes
Kromasil C18	5	100	340	19	yes	yes
LiChrosorb RP-18	10	100	300	17	no	no
LiChrospher RP-18	5	100	350	21.6	no	no
Luna 5 C18(2)	5	100	400	17.5	yes	yes
Novapak C18	4	60	120	7.3	yes	no
Nucleosil C18	5	100	350	15	yes	no
Nucleosil C18 HD	5	100	-	20	yes	yes
Nucleosil C18AB	5	100	350	24	yes	no
Partisil ODS	10	85	350	5	no	no
Partisil ODS2	10	85	350	15	yes	no
Partisil ODS3	10	85	350	10.5	yes	no
Prodigy ODS2	5	150	310	18.4	yes	yes
Prodigy ODS3	5	100	450	15.5	yes	yes
Purospher RP18-e	5	80	500	-	yes	yes
Resolve C18	5	90	200	10	no	no
SunFire C18	5	100	340	16	yes	yes
Symmetry C18	5	100	335	19	yes	yes
TSK ODS-120T	5	120	-	22	yes	no
TSK ODS-80TM	5	80	-	15	yes	no
Ultrasphere ODS	5	80	-	12	yes	no
Vydac 218MS	5	300	70	-	yes	no
Vydac 218TP	5	300	70	8	yes	no
Vydac Selectapore 300M	5	300	70	-	yes	yes
Vydac Selectapore 300P	5	300	70	-	yes	yes
Vydac Selectapore 90M	5	90	250	-	yes	yes
Waters Spherisorb ODS1	5	80	220	6.2	no	no
Waters Spherisorb ODS2	5	80	220	11.5	yes	no
Waters Spherisorb ODSB	5	80	220	11.5	yes	no
XTerra MS C18	5	125	-	15.5	yes	—
YMC J'Sphere ODS H80	4	80	510	22	yes	no
YMC J'Sphere ODS M80	4	80	510	14	yes	no
YMC ODS A	5	120	300	17	yes	no
YMC ODS AM	5	120	300	17	yes	no
YMC Pro C18	5	120	335	16	yes	yes
Zorbax Extend C18	5	80	180	12.5	yes	yes
Zorbax ODS	5	70	330	20	yes	no
Zorbax Rx-C18	5	80	180	12	no	yes
Zorbax SB-C18	5	80	180	10	no	yes
Zorbax XDB-C18	5	80	180	10	yes	yes

Figure 4

### C18 Phases Compared According to Relative Hydrophobicity



### Stationary Phase Specifications

Stationary phase specifications provide basic information that can be helpful in deciding which phases to select for evaluation. For example, phases with high surface area and high carbon load will generally retain hydrophobic compounds longer than phases with low surface area and low carbon load. If you are analyzing macromolecules, such as peptides and proteins, a wider pore (200 — 300 Å) phase usually provides better performance than a phase with small pores. New high purity silicas usually provide better peak shape for basic compounds than older, more acidic silicas (see Figure 2). Stationary phase specifications, however, will not give you enough information to accurately predict retention or band spacing (selectivity). This is especially true when separating polar compounds.

## Phases Compared According to Relative Hydrophobicity

Hydrophobicity is measured as the retention of a hydrophobic solute, phenanthrene. Figure 4 gives a comparison of hydrophobicity with the C18 phases listed according to hydrophobicity. Notice, however, that the retention for dimethyl phthalate, the least hydrophobic solute in the mixture, cannot always be predicted from the hydrophobicity ranking. Some low hydrophobicity phases actually have greater retention for dimethyl phthalate than some high hydrophobicity phases. We find that this is not unusual when separating polar compounds. Phases that are significantly more retentive for hydrophobic analytes may show only slightly more retention for polar compounds than low hydrophobicity phases, and sometimes they show less.

## Alternative Test for Hydrophobicity

Toluene can also be used as a probe to measure hydrophobicity. Notice that the ranking of C18 phases according to retention for toluene (Figure 5) is slightly different from the ranking according to retention for phenanthrene (Figure 4).

Figure 5  
C18 Phases Ranked According to Retention for Toluene





Figure 6  
C18 Phases Ranked According to Polarity



## Phases Ranked According to Relative Polarity

There have been several chromatographic tests suggested for measuring polarity of stationary phases. Although there is no one test that we think provides a definitive measurement, we have chosen to use the ratio of *k* values for pyridine and phenol as our measure of relative polarity for these C18 phases.

Figure 6 ranks stationary phases according to relative polarity using the test conditions given. In this ranking, there is not necessarily a significant difference between consecutive listings. If a different mobile phase condition was used for the test, e.g., a lower mobile phase pH, or if different probes were used, the ranking may be somewhat different. However, phases at the high polarity end of the ranking and phases at the low polarity end of the ranking are likely to test that way under most polarity tests conditions. Therefore, this ranking can be used to identify relative differences and similarities in polarity that can affect selectivity for polar compounds.

Since silanol activity is a major contributor to phase polarity, the test conditions used here to measure polarity have also been used by some chromatographers as an indication of silanol activity. This seems consistent with the fact that most phases at the high polarity end of the ranking use more acidic silicas as stationary phase supports where phases at the low polarity end of the ranking use less acidic (high purity) silicas. However, there are other factors that contribute to the retention of pyridine and phenol that prevent us from using their relative retention as a reliable measure of silanol activity. For example, Inertsil ODS has moderate polarity but shows significant silanol activity in other tests. Also, we see that Prodigy ODS2 tests with similar polarity as the ACE C18, but in tests for silanol activity, the ACE C18 shows significantly less silanol activity (see Figures 10 and 13).

## Categorization of phases according to hydrophobicity and polarity.

The hydrophobicity and polarity data can be used to group phases with similar characteristics into categories. The following criteria was used for the categories:

Hydrophobicity		Polarity	
<i>k</i> for phenanthrene		<i>k</i> pyridine	
		<i>k</i> phenol	
High	> 2.0	High	> 1.00
Moderate	1.30 to 1.99	Moderate	0.50 to 0.99
Low	< 1.30	Low	< 0.50

Figure 7

### C18 Phases Grouped According to Hydrophobicity and Polarity

Phases are listed in alphabetical order by category.

<p><b>High Polarity/ Low Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>Exsil ODS1 Hypersil ODS Novapak C18 Partisil ODS Vydac 218MS Vydac 218TP Vydac Selectapore 300P</p>	<p><b>High Polarity/ Moderate Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>Exsil ODS Hypersil BDS C18 Resolve C18 TSK ODS-80TM μBondapak C18 Waters Spherisorb ODS1 Waters Spherisorb ODS2 Zorbax ODS</p>	<p><b>High Polarity/ High Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>LiChrosorb RP-18 LiChrospher RP-18</p>
<p><b>Moderate Polarity/ Low Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>Vydac Selectapore 300M Vydac Selectapore 90M Xterra MS C18</p>	<p><b>Moderate Polarity/ Moderate Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>Capcell Pak AG C18 Inertsil ODS Inertsil ODS2 Nucleosil C18 AB Partisil ODS3 Prodigy ODS3 Purospher RP18-e TSK ODS-120T Ultrasphere ODS Waters Spherisorb ODSB YMC J'Sphere ODS M80 YMC ODS A YMC ODS AM Zorbax Extend C18 Zorbax Rx-C18 Zorbax SB-C18</p>	<p><b>Moderate Polarity/ High Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>ACE C18-HL Nucleosil C18 Partisil ODS2 Symmetry C18 YMC J'Sphere ODS H80</p>
<p><b>Low Polarity/ Low Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>ACE C18-300 Exsil ODSB Hypersil GOLD</p>	<p><b>Low Polarity/ Moderate Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>ACE C18 Capcell Pak UG C18 Develosil ODS-HG Develosil ODS-UG Gemini C18 Hichrom RPB Hypersil HyPurity C18 Luna C18(2) Nucleosil C18 HD Prodigy ODS2 SunFire C18 YMC Pro C18 Zorbax XDB-C18</p>	<p><b>Low Polarity/ High Hydrophobicity</b></p> <p>Develosil ODS-MG Inertsil ODS3 Kromasil C18</p>

Figure 8 provides an example of how columns from different polarity/hydrophobicity categories will compare. In this separation of antidepressants, Symmetry C18 (high hydrophobicity) is slightly more retentive than Hypersil BDS-C18 (moderate hydrophobicity), and the band spacing of ACE C18 (low polarity) is more similar to Symmetry C18 (moderate polarity) than it is to Hypersil BDS C18 (high polarity).

Figure 8

### Chromatographic Comparison of Stationary Phases from Different Categories





Figure 9

### Comparison of Column Efficiency for a Neutral Compound

Column efficiency reported as Plates per meter (N/Meter)




### Comparison of Column Efficiency for a Neutral Compound

Column efficiency is reported as plates per meter (N/Meter). Using a neutral compound (toluene) for the measurement greatly reduces the effects of secondary retention on the measurement of N and allows us to obtain data that is a better indication of the following factors:

- Particle size  
Smaller average packing particle size = Larger N
- Particle size distribution  
Broader particle size distribution = Smaller N
- Packing efficiency  
Better packing procedures = Larger N


Figure 10  
**Comparison of Column Efficiency for a Basic Compound: Pyridine**



### Comparison of Column Efficiency for a Basic Compound


Measuring column efficiency using a neutral compound is not very useful in predicting column performance when separating ionic compounds. Interaction between polar solutes and silanol sites on the stationary phase can cause tailing peaks and poor column efficiency. To gain a better understanding of column performance with basic compounds, columns were tested using pyridine and amitriptyline as probes. Although columns are ranked somewhat differently on the two tests, phases at the higher end of the ranking scale can be expected to give better peak shape and higher resolution for basic compounds than phases at the lower end of the scale. Not surprisingly, stationary phases that use high purity silicas exhibit better peak shape and higher column efficiency than stationary phases that use more acidic silicas as their stationary phase supports.

Figure 11  
**Comparison of Peak Shape**



ACE C18 gave the best peak shape and highest column efficiency for pyridine.

Figure 12  
**Comparison of Column Efficiency For a Basic Compound: Pyridine**



Column efficiency measured at 10% pyridine peak height to account for peak tailing effects

Column Dimensions: 250 x 4.6mm, 5µm  
 Sample: 1) uracil 2) pyridine 3) phenol  
 Mobile Phase: 60:40 MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O  
 Temperature: 24°C  
 Flow: 1.0ml/min

Figure 13

Comparison of Column Efficiency for a Basic Compound: Amitriptyline





Plate count is measured at 10% of peak height to include peak tailing in the calculation. Both tests use mobile phases at neutral pH to encourage interaction between the basic probes and silanols on the stationary phase.

Figure 14


Top 10 Columns Ranked According to Peak Shape and Efficiency



Column ranking does differ in the two tests of column efficiency for a basic compound (Figures 10 and 13). However, of the 14 columns that ranked in the top 10 on at least one of the tests, 6 ranked in the top 10 on both tests.

Figure 15

Comparison of Column Efficiency For a Basic Compound: Amitriptyline



Column efficiency measured at 10% amitriptyline peak height to account for peak tailing effects

Column Dimensions: 250 x 4.6mm, 5µm  
 Sample: 1) norephedrine 2) nortriptyline 3) toluene 4) imipramine 5) amitriptyline  
 Mobile Phase: 80:20 MeOH/0.025M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 6.0  
 Temperature: 24°C  
 Flow: 1.0ml/min

## Additional Comparison Tests

There are numerous suggestions from different scientific groups about how to best characterize stationary phases. Most of these tests have merit, but the fact that the ranking of columns will often differ among the different tests shows the difficulty in devising a definitive test that will predict column behavior in all, or even most circumstances. The National Institute of Standards & Technology (NIST) has developed test conditions (Standard Reference Material 870) that do a particularly good job of characterizing stationary phases according to metal activity and silanol activity.

The presence of metals on the surface of stationary phases can have a significant effect on chromatographic performance. Even trace levels of metal impurities can contribute to peak tailing of some compounds. In addition, subtle lot-to-lot variations in the amount of trace metals are another cause of poor column reproducibility. The NIST test uses peak asymmetry of quinizarin, a strong metal chelating agent, to measure metal activity. Figure 16 ranks stationary phases according to metal activity using the NIST test.

To test silanol activity, the NIST test uses amitriptyline, as does the test used to generate the data in Figure 13. However, the NIST test specifies a mobile phase pH of 7.0 rather than 6.0, and measures peak asymmetry rather than plate count to determine silanol activity. The lower the asymmetry value of the amitriptyline peak (less tailing) the less silanol activity. Figure 17 ranks stationary phases according to silanol activity using the NIST test.

Figure 16

Comparison of Metal Activity Using the NIST Test: Asymmetry for Quinizarin




Figure 17

Comparison of Silanol Activity Using the NIST Test: Asymmetry for Amitriptyline




Figure 18

**Grouping of C18 Columns According to Silanol Activity**

SILANOL ACTIVITY	
Very Low	<p><b>Material</b></p> <p>ACE C18                      ACE C18-300                      Develosil ODS-MG                      Hypersil GOLD                      Hypersil HyPURITY C18                      Inertsil ODS3                      Luna C18(2)                      Nucleosil C18 HD                      SunFire C18                      XTerra MS C18                      YMC Pro C18</p>
Low	<p>ACE C18-HL                      Capcell Pak UG C18                      Develosil ODS-HG                      Develosil ODS-UG                      Gemini C18                      Hichrom RPB                      Inertsil ODS2                      Kromasil C18                      Prodigy ODS2                      Prodigy ODS3                      Purospher RP18-e                      Symmetry C18                      YMC ODS A                      YMC ODS AM                      Zorbax Extend C18                      Zorbax XDB-C18</p>
Moderate	<p>Capcell Pak C18 SG                      Exsil ODSB                      Hypersil BDS C18                      Inertsil ODS                      Nova-Pak C18                      Nucleosil C18AB                      Partisil ODS3                      Synchropak CR101                      TSK ODS-120T                      TSK ODS-80TM                      μBondapak C18                      Vydac 218MS                      Vydac 218TP                      Vydac Selectapore 300M\                      Vydac Selectapore 300P                      Vydac Selectapore 90M                      Waters Spherisorb ODSB                      YMC J'Sphere ODS H80                      YMC J'Sphere ODS M80                      Zorbax Rx-C18                      Zorbax SB-C18</p>
High	<p>Capcell Pak C18 AG                      Exsil ODS                      Exsil ODS1                      Hypersil ODS                      LiChrosorb RP-18                      LiChrospher RP-18                      Nucleosil C18                      Partisil ODS                      Partisil ODS2                      Resolve C18Ultrasphere ODS                      Waters Spherisorb ODS1                      Waters Spherisorb ODS2                      Zorbax ODS</p>

**Phases Grouped According to Silanol Activity**

Amitriptyline and pyridine are both good test probes to use for measuring silanol activity of stationary phases. Even a small amount of silanol exposure by the stationary phase can cause measurable peak broadening and peak asymmetry on one or both of these compounds. Chromatographic tests using these two probes are the primary measurements used to group these C18 phases according to silanol activity. In general, phases identified as having “very low” silanol activity will give the highest column efficiency in the pyridine and amitriptyline tests (Figures 10, 13 and 17).



**MAC-MOD Analytical**  
103 Commons Court  
P.O. Box 587  
Chadds Ford, PA 19317

**Phone:** 1.800.441.7508  
**Fax:** 1.610.358.5993  
**E-mail:** [info@mac-mod.com](mailto:info@mac-mod.com)  
**Web Site:** [www.mac-mod.com](http://www.mac-mod.com)