

# Selectivity: A Potent Ally in RPLC Method Development

<u>Thomas J. Waeghe</u>, Carl L. Zimmerman, Robert T. Moody MAC-MOD Analytical, Inc. Chadds Ford, PA 19317

> Eastern Analytical Symposium November 15, 2012



- Review factors most important for selectivity changes
- Description of complementary alkylphenyl and alkylpentafluorophenyl phases
- Method development strategy
  - which parameters to consider
  - specific approach for flavonoid/phenolic sample example
  - column phase and organic modifier screening
  - optimization using DryLab<sup>®</sup> 4 (t<sub>G</sub> × T)
  - scale separation to HPLC geometry
- Summary





# **Isocratic Separations**

- Column Stationary Phase
- Organic modifier
- Mobile phase pH
   (for ionised analytes only)
- % Organic modifier
- Column temperature
- Buffer choice
- Buffer concentration
- Additive concentration

LEAST Influence

# **Gradient Separations**

- All parameters for isocratic
- Gradient steepness
- k\* (that is  $t_G$ , F,  $\Delta \Phi$ , V<sub>m</sub>, MW)

$$k^* = \frac{85 \times t_G \times F}{\Delta \Phi \times V_m \times S}$$

- Delay volume
- Column dimensions



# Power of Changing Multiple Parameters to Change Selectivity and Resolution

$$R_s = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\sqrt{N}\left(\alpha - 1\right)\left(\frac{k}{1+k}\right)$$

For  $\Delta R_s = 1.5$ , N = 10,000 and k  $\geq$  1

( $\alpha$  – 1) = 0.12 and  $\alpha$  = 1.12

log  $\alpha$  = 0.05 and Snyder proposed  $|\delta \log \alpha|_{avg} \ge 0.10$ 

For a change in both column phase and organic modifier, the expected change is magnified

$$|\delta \log \alpha|_{avg} = [(0.20)^2 + (0.19)^2]^{0.5} = 0.28$$

Relative Impact of Different Changes in RPLC Parameters on Selectivity<sup>1</sup>

		Maximum
Parameter	Change in Parameter	$ \delta \log \alpha _{avg}$
рН	5 pH units	0.70
Organic Modifier	ACN ↔ MeOH	0.20
Gradient Time (t <sub>G</sub> )	10-fold	0.20
Orthogonal Column	∆F <sub>s</sub> ~65	0.19
% Organic	10% (v/v)	0.08
Temperature	20°C	0.07
Buffer Concentration	2-fold	0.02

Note:

■pH and buffer concentration effective for ionizable analytes only

Temperature most effective for ionizable analytes

Use of different column phases, organic modifiers, pHs, and temperatures can be powerful in changing  $\alpha$  and R<sub>s</sub>

<sup>1</sup> Adapted from Snyder et al., "Orthogonal" separations for reversed-phase liquid chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A, 1101 (2006) 122–135



# **Considerations for RPLC Method Development**

		The PH Scale	
Contraction of the second seco		Acidic Alkaline	
Column	Organic Modifier	Mobile Phase	Additive
Stationary Phase	Choice	рН	Choice
Orthogonal phases	Acetonitrile (ACN)	• pH 2	Low pH
Complementary	Methanol (MeOH)	• nH 2 8	• TFA
interactions		• pri 2.0	• formic acid
interactions	ACN/MeOH 1:1 blend	• pH 3.8	• acetic acid
<ul> <li>hydrophobic</li> </ul>	Ethanol	• pH 4.8	<ul> <li>ammonium formate</li> <li>phosphate/H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub></li> </ul>
• π-π	2-Propanol (IPA)	• pH 6.5–7.0	• HClO4
• dipole-dipole		• pH 7.8	Mid pH
hydrogen bonding		• pH 9 <b>—10</b> .5	ammonium formate     ammonium acetate
• steric resistance			• citrate
(shape)			• phosphate

- <u>High pH</u>
  - ammonium bicarbonate
  - ammonium hydroxide



# The Power of $\pi$ ...Scientific-Led Stationary Phase Design





### ACN

Column 1	Column 2	Selectivity 'S'
C18	C18-AR	8
C18-AR	C18-PFP	8
C18	C18-PFP	7

### MeOH

Column 1	Column 2	Selectivity 'S'
C18	C18-AR	12
C18	C18-PFP	11
C18-AR	C18-PFP	10

MeOH	ACN	Selectivity Value
C18-PFP	C18	19
C18-AR	C18	18
C18-AR	C18-PFP	18
C18-PFP	C18-AR	18
C18-PFP	C18-PFP	18
C18	C18-AR	17
C18	C18-PFP	17
C18	C18	15
C18-AR	C18-AR	15

Selectivity = 100 x  $\sqrt{(1 - R^2)}$ 



Selectivity =  $100 \times \sqrt{(1 - R^2)}$ =  $100 \times \sqrt{(1 - 0.9887)}$ = 10.6

7



# **Objective:** Develop a gradient RPLC separation for a complex analyte mixture



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY A

Journal of Chromatography A, 1149 (2007) 73-87

www.elsevier.com/locate/chroma

Comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography with parallel gradients for separation of phenolic and flavone antioxidants

F. Cacciola<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Jandera<sup>a,\*</sup>, Z. Hajdú<sup>a</sup>, P Česla<sup>a</sup>, L. Mondello<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Pardubice, Nám Čs. Legií 565, 53210 Pardubice, Czech Republic <sup>b</sup> Dipartimento Farmaco-Chimico, University of Messina, Viale Annunziata 101, 98168 Messina, Italy Available online 5 February 2007

- J. Chrom. A, 2007
- Considered 31 analytes: phenolic, acidic, flavonoids
- Compared PEG, C18, and phenyl phases both in series (1-D) and in 2-D arrangements
- Previous work (2006) compared C18 and PFP phases in series and in 2-D
- Selected analyte category for method development example



# • Column Phase Screening

- **C18**
- **C18-A**R
- **C18-PFP**
- Mobile phase
  - Organic modifier
    - ACN
    - MeOH
  - Aqueous component pH
    - pH 2.8 (0.1% HCOOH)

# • Temperature

– **30°C** 

# Sample:

- •19 phenolics, acids and flavonoids used in 2-D method publication
- Preliminary sample: flavonoids on hand
- •Columns: 2.1 × 50 mm, 2 μm ACE *Excel*, 1000 bar max. (N ~ 10,000)
- •Screen C18, C18-AR, C18-PFP phases using ACN/water (0.1% HCOOH) at 30°C
- •Various gradient times: 5, 10, and 15 min from 5 to 95% organic



# Test available flavonoids on ACE Excel Phases

- **Preliminary Sample**
- naringin
- •myricetin
- •quercetin
- kaempferol
- apigenin
- naringenin
- •hesper<u>etin</u>
- •biochanin
- •flavone





# ACE C18 Column Screening: 30°C, 3 gradient times

### **9 Flavonoids**



Longer gradient times do not always produce better resolution!



Column:	ACE Excel 2 C18, 2.1 x 50 mm
Inst.:	Shimadzu Nexera
Flow rate:	0.5 mL/min
Temp.:	30°C
Detection:	254 nm, 50 Hz
Mobile Phase A:	H2O (0.1% HCOOH)
Mobile Phase B:	ACN (0.1% HCOOH)
Gradient:	5–95%, times as shown



# ACE C18-AR Column Screening: 30°C, 2 gradient times

### 9 Flavonoids



Column:	ACE Excel 2 C18-AR, 2.1 x 50 mm
Inst.:	Shimadzu Nexera
Flow rate:	0.5 mL/min
Temp.:	30°C
Detection:	254 nm, 50 Hz
Mobile Phase A:	Н2О (0.1% НСООН)
Mobile Phase B:	ACN (0.1% HCOOH)
Gradient:	5–95%, times as shown



# ACE C18-PFP Column Screening: 30°C, 3 gradient times

### **9 Flavonoids**



Same instrument and conditions as previous

Expanded View of 15-min gradient run

# Results of Column Screening, Add more analytes

- Improvement in R<sub>s</sub> with longer t<sub>G</sub>'s seen only with ACE C18-PFP
- Add additional analytes to mixture
  - mandelic acid
  - p-hydroxybenzoic acid
  - p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid
  - 6,7-dihydroxycoumarin
  - syringic acid
  - p-coumaric acid
  - ferulic acid
  - morin
  - resveratrol
  - hesperidin
- Use C18-PFP phase for DryLab<sup>®</sup> 4 optimization (t<sub>G</sub> × T)
- Also compare C18 and C18-AR phases using ACN and MeOH and two temperatures (30 and 50°C)
- All subsequent results generated using binary Agilent 1200SL (V<sub>D</sub> ~120 μL, 600 bar max.)







p-Hydroxybenzoic acid

(4-hydroxyphenyl)acetic acid







6,7-Dihydroxycoumarin

Syringic Acid

Morin

p-Coumaric Acid



Ferulic Acid

НО ОН



Resveratrol



Hesperidin

# Minor selectivity differences using ACN and MeOH with 0.1% HCOOH for 15-min gradients: early eluters



# Significant differences using ACN and MeOH with 0.1% HCOOH for 15-min gradients: Later Eluters



# Gradient runs using 2 gradient times and 2 temperatures for DryLab<sup>®</sup> 4 input: C18-PFP Column



5–95% ACN/water with 0.1% HCOOH in each



# DryLab<sup>®</sup> 4: 2-D Resolution Map and Conditions for Optimum Linear Gradient

DryLab<sup>®</sup> 4 courtesy of the Molnar Institute



Predicted for optimum linear gradient at 31.5°C 1-58.5% ACN/water (0.1% HCOOH) in <u>9.3 min</u> Predicted for optimum linear gradient at 31.5°C 1-58.5% ACN/water (0.1% HCOOH) in <u>28.5 min</u>

# Transfer Optimum Linear Gradient Separation to ACE *Excel* C18-PFP 3 μm Column for HPLC



Actual chromatograms embedded using ChromMerge software

# **Screening and Optimization** Doesn't Really Take That Long...

## **Example Scenario 1**

# **Column/Modifier/Temperature**

- 2.1 x 50 mm, 2 μm columns
- 3 Column Phases
- 2 Temperatures
- Single pH
- 2 Organic Modifiers
- 3 Gradient Times (5, 10, 15 min.)
- Duplicate injections all conditions

### **Required Time**

- 1.5 hrs. for Temp 1
- 0.5 hr. temp. equilibrium
- 1.5 hrs. for Temp 2
- 3.5 hrs. elapsed time per phase
- ~10.5 hrs. total elapsed time

### **Example Scenario 2**

Column/pH/Temperature

- 2.1 x 50 mm, 2 μm columns
- 3 Column Phases
- 1 Temperatures
- 3 pHs
- 2 Organic Modifiers
- 2 Gradient Times (5, 15 min.)
- Duplicate injections all conditions

### **Required Time**

- 1 hr. for pH 1
- 0.5 hr. pH equilibrium
- 1 hr. for pH 2
- 0.5 hr. pH equilibrium
- 1 hr. for pH 3
- 4 hrs. elapsed time per phase
- ~12 hrs. total elapsed time



- A systematic method development approach that incorporates stationary phase and organic modifier screening can be efficient and effective.
- ACE Excel 2  $\mu$ m UHPLC columns with novel, unique, stationary phases and 1000-bar pressure max.
  - alkylaryl and alkylpentafluorophenyl groups are useful for polar analytes, structural isomers and analogs.
  - Larger particle size and larger frits allow faster flow rates (+30%) at the same pressure as most sub-2- $\mu$ m columns



# • Acknowledgements

- Bob Albrecht, ChromMerge Software
- Dr. Imre Molnar and Norbert Klapczynski, Molnar-Institute



# Additional Data (not shown in presentation)



# ACE C18: Gradients ACN/water vs. MeOH/water, 30°C only



# ACE C18: Best predicted results with ACN and MeOH gradients at 30°C using 2.1 x 50 mm ACE *Excel* 2













### Local Optimum 2 5-95% in 11.7 min, 51°C

# ACE C18-AR with MeOH/water (0.1% HCOOH) Predicted vs. Actual Chromatograms at Local Optima



28